

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/670,609	09/25/2003	John G. Hughes	EM-1818 6785 EXAMINER			
5179 75	590 12/09/2004					
PEACOCK MYERS AND ADAMS P C			PANG, R	PANG, ROGER L		
P O BOX 26927 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 871256927			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		3681			
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
			10/670,609	HUGHES, JOHN G.	1"	
/ [;	Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
/			Roger L Pang	3681		
Period fo		DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence addres	ss	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) 🗌	Responsive to	communication(s) filed on				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is F	INAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)	• •	ication is in condition for allowan			erits is	
	closed in acco	rdance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims	: - :				
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> i	s/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the abov	e claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_	Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers	:				
9)	The specification	on is objected to by the Examiner	•	•		
10)⊠	The drawing(s)	filed on 25 September 2003 is/a	re: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objec	ted to by the Examine	er.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)		= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =			
1) Notic	e of References Ci		4) Interview Summary			
		Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		j2)	
	mation Disclosure S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u>		6) Other:		-,	

Application/Control Number: 10/670,609

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to application 10/670,609 filed on September 25, 2003.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show parts 12, 10, 14, and 16 as described in the specification. Also, the drawings do not seem to accurately match the descriptions of drawings, as the drawings include Figs. 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, instead of just Figs. 1 and 2.

Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any

Art Unit: 3681

required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant claims that the motion of the mirror is being actively damped, however, the functions and variables of each embodiment are not adequately described in the specification. The specification seems to defer to the drawings, and the variables not explained. For example, in Fig. 1A, the variable "S" is not disclosed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Predina.

Predina teaches an active damper for a stabilized mirror, said active damper comprising: a

Application/Control Number: 10/670,609

Art Unit: 3681

tachometer 12 measuring speed of a motor (plant) driving the mirror; compensation electronics receiving input from said tachometer and the motor (Fig. 1); and drive electronics providing output to the motor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes in view of Rehms. With regard to claims 1 and 11, Hughes teaches the active damper, comprising a motor 201 driving a mirror 209; compensating electronics (Fig. 4) and drive electronics (Fig. 4), but lacks the teaching of a tachometer to measure the speed of the motor. Hughes teaches an accelerometer 205 and an integrator 422 to calculate the velocity. Rehms teaches a tachometer reading a speed wfb of a motor and a derivative module 80 to calculate the acceleration. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hughes to employ a tachometer and a derivative module to calculate acceleration in view of Rehms order to simplify the sensor needed to perform the damping functions. With regard to claims 2 and 12, Hughes teaches the damper wherein said electronics comprise an AC coupled rate loop 1010. With regard to claims 3 and 13, Hughes teaches the damper, wherein said electronics provide nearly zero phase shift at lower and upper crossover frequencies of a damper control loop (Col. 1). With regard to claims 4 and 14, Hughes teaches the damper, wherein said active damper operates on a stabilized mirror 209 in a gimbal. With regard to claims 5 and 15,

Art Unit: 3681

Hughes teaches the damper, wherein said active damper dampens a belt mode (Col. 1). With regard to claims 6 and 16, Hughes teaches the damper, wherein said active damper dampens a belt mode at a frequency between approximately 240Hz to 700Hz (Col. 7). With regard to claims 8-9, and 18-19, Hughes teaches a damper that is inherently insensitive to belt frequency and changes in temperature. With regard to claims 7, 10, 17, and 20, Hughes teaches the damper, but lacks the teaching of he damper providing at least 70% dampening at belt mode or not affecting operating of the mirror at frequencies at or below approximately one-half of a belt mode frequency. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hughes to provide a certain percentage of dampening and choose the low pass filter to reflect frequencies approximately below one-half of a belt mode frequency, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routing skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105, USPQ 233

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Haessig, Jackson, Lee, Takahashi, and Wyse have been cited to show similar active damping controls.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. Group 3600's facsimile number is (703) 305-3597. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/670,609

Art Unit: 3681

examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify	that this correspon	dence is being	facsimile ti	ransmitted to	the Patent	and
	:					
Trademark Offi	ce (Fax No. (703)	305-3597) on _		(Date)		

Typed or prir	ited name of person signing	this certificate:
(Signatura)	:	

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application, duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roger L Pang whose telephone number is 703-305-0445. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Roger \ Patent Examiner Art Unit 3681